

REPORT FOR:**Traffic and Road Safety
Advisory Panel**

Date of Meeting:

23 November 2016

Subject:**INFORMATION REPORT**

Petitions relating to:

- 1) The Gardens, West Harrow –
extension of CPZ hours
- 2) Jesmond Way, Stanmore –
objection to double yellow lines

Responsible Officer :

Tom McCourt – Corporate Director,
Community

Exempt:

No

Wards affected:

Pinner South, Rayners Lane,
Headstone South, Belmont,
Wealdstone, Hatch End, Roxbourne

Enclosures:

None

Section 1 – Summary

This report sets out details of the petitions that have been received since the last TARSAP meeting and provides details of the Council's investigations and findings where these have been undertaken.

FOR INFORMATION

Section 2 – Report

Petition 1 – The Gardens, West Harrow - Request to extend hours of operation

- 2.1 A petition containing 29 pro forma letters with comments and signatures was received by the council on 20th October 2016. The letters state states:

"We have been approached by various residents of this road about the very bad parking situation again after 11:00am.

Apart from the impossible parking situation residents are very concerned about how dangerous the road is at all times and particularly at school opening and closing times

This is a through road so we have more than our share of lorries and businesses, added to this parents drive over the pavements and turn their cars amongst hundreds of children

We could start the process with Harrow Council to have the restriction hours extended to at least another hour in the afternoons.

Please return this letter in the next 10 days with your signature and any other issues you may have re the above."

- 2.2 The request will be added to the list of requests to be presented to the panel in February 2017 when the annual parking management report is considered. As members are aware all of the requests for schemes received during the year or already on the list will be assessed against standard assessment factors agreed by TARSAP.
- 2.3 The schemes will be ranked in order of priority and a suggested programme of schemes presented to the panel for their consideration and prioritisation. The priority for a scheme in The Gardens can then be reviewed.

Petition 2 – Jesmond Way, Stanmore – Objection to double yellow lines

- 2.4 A petition containing 23 signatures was received by the council on 28th October 2016. The letters state states:

“We the undersigned residents of Jesmond Way, Stanmore strongly object to these experimental waiting restrictions as they are totally unnecessary and will cause serious inconvenience and problems for us by the removal of much-needed and well-used parking space.

There is no evidence of any need or justification for such restrictions in this purely local residential access road even on the bend where the Council has announced its intention to impose them.

We further object to

- (a) the way in which the Council asserts in its notification letter (delivered to us several days after its date of 14th October) that “The Council does not have to consult on the proposals before introducing the scheme” on the grounds that this is an experiment*
- (b) the way in which the proposed Jesmond Way restrictions were shown ONLY on the plan accompanying the letter with no mention whatever in the text of the letter.*

We request the Council to scrap this unnecessary and disruptive experiment.”

2.5 The Council has received complaints from residents and members about congestion problems at the junction of the A5 and Stonegrove Service Road as well as in the unrestricted section of Pangbourne Drive caused by large coaches on their way to pick up and drop off children at the North London Collegiate School. In response to this an experimental scheme, which can be implemented without prior statutory consultation, has consequently been developed that seeks to address these issues as quickly as possible. A letter dated the 14th October was sent to residents with details of the scheme which includes:

- a one-way (northbound) working along the northern section of Stonegrove service road
- experimental waiting restrictions operating Monday to Friday 7 to 11 am and 3 to 6 pm along the northern side of Pangbourne Drive east of Dalkeith Grove
- experimental waiting restrictions operating Monday to Friday 7 to 11 am and 3 to 6 pm along the service road adjacent to properties 119 to 127, and Gordon Court.
- short sections of double yellow lines opposite the access into the service road between Jesmond Way and Calthorpe Gardens and on Pangbourne Drive opposite its junction with Dalkeith Grove and on the south side of Pangbourne Drive east of its junction with Dalkeith Grove.

2.6 This experimental scheme does not include a proposal to introduce double yellow lines on the bend in Jesmond Way. However, the plan attached to the letter does indicate that there will be an intention to propose this measure in the future as a part of another consultation and

this may possibly have been misunderstood as being a part of the experimental scheme.

- 2.7 As members of the panel will be aware there is a separate proposal to extend CPZ zone H by including Pangbourne Drive. It is intended that this scheme would include parking restrictions for the bend in Jesmond Way. A separate consultation exercise for this scheme is proposed in the near future where representations will be possible in advance of any scheme implementation.
- 2.8 In respect of the petitioners concerns a meeting was convened on 4th November with the chair of TARSAP to review the proposed double yellow lines on the bend near number 27 Jesmond Way. Following those discussions it was agreed that the council would revise the scheme design to include a double yellow line only on the inside of the bend when the consultation is sent to residents.

Section 3 – Further Information

- 3.1. The purpose of this report is to inform the Panel about any new petitions received since the last meeting. No updates on the progress made with previous petitions will be reported at future meetings as officers will liaise with the Chair of TARSAP and the Portfolio Holder directly regarding any updates.

Section 4 – Financial Implications

- 4.1. There are no direct financial implications. Any suggested measures in the report that require further investigation would be taken forward using existing resources and funding.

Section 5 - Equalities implications

- 5.1 The petitions raise issues about existing schemes in the traffic and transportation works programme as well as new areas for investigation. The officer's response indicates a suggested way forward in each case. An equality impact assessment (EqIA) will be carried out in accordance with the current corporate guidance if members subsequently decide that officers should develop detailed schemes or proposals to address any of the concerns raised in the petitions.

Section 6 – Council Priorities

- 6.1 The funds allocated by TfL and Harrow for transport improvements will contribute to achieving the administration's priorities:
- Making a difference for the vulnerable
 - Making a difference for communities
 - Making a difference for local businesses

- Making a difference for families

Section 7 - Statutory Officer Clearance

Name: Jessie Man



on behalf of the
Chief Financial Officer

Date: 10/11/16

Ward Councillors notified:

YES

Section 8 - Contact Details and Background Papers

Contact:

Barry Philips

Tel: 020 8424 1437, Fax: 020 8424 7662, E-mail: barry.philips@harrow.gov.uk

Background Papers:

Previous TARSAP reports

Decision Notices

Public and statutory consultation documents highlighted in the report